IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL MISC. APPEAL No. 30 of 2005

MEHATA RAM
V/S
HADMAN SINGH & ORS

Mr. DS THIND, for the appellant / petitioner

Date of Order : 16.3.2005

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA,J.

ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

The whole contention raised is that the amount awarded is inadequate. I have perused the finding of the learned trial Court on issue No.4.

Learned trial Court has found that though the claimant has deposed his leg to have been broken, but then from the injury report, he was found to have suffered only fracture of 3rd, 4th and 5th metatarsal, and the learned trial Court has awarded Rs.20,000/- as compensation for this injury. Then amount of Rs.1000/- has been awarded for medical expenditure, though the medical bills produced were only for an amount of Rs.842/-. Then the amount has has been awarded for pain and suffering. There is nothing to show that the appellant suffered any permanent disablement, even partial permanent disablement. In these circumstances, I do not find any error in the assessment of compensation made by the learned Tribunal.

The appeal thus has no force, and is dismissed summarily.

(N P GUPTA),J.

/tarun/